Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.





CURRE T SEPIAL RECORD

FEB 27 1958

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE



ARS 44-13

December/57

U. S. DEPARTMENT of AGRICU TURE

FOREWORD

The National Turkey Improvement Plan provides for three types of tests through which the performance of a representative sample of the entrant's stock is measured. The tests are: (1) Turkey Reproduction Test, (2) Central Turkey Meat Production Test, and (3) On-the-Farm Turkey Meat Production Test. The detailed provisions for these tests are contained in USDA Miscellaneous Publication 739. Copies of this publication may be obtained from Official State Agencies for the National Turkey Improvement Plan or by writing directly to the Animal Husbandry Research Division, Agricultural Research Center, Beltsville, Maryland.

The Plan provides that the results of the tests reported to the AH Division by December 1 shall be published in a national summary alphabetically by test and entries, with no indication of rank. This publication contains the results of the tests as submitted by the supervising agencies.

A Turkey Reproduction Test was conducted in the State of Washington in 1957. The results of such tests are a part of the requirements for qualification as U. S. Performance Tested Parent Stock. The test is conducted on the entrant's premises where at least 200 hens, selected at random from the breeder's supply or replacement flocks, are penned separately from other flocks. Records of egg production and hatchability for at least 8 weeks, from which the average rate of poult production is computed, are obtained under the supervision of the Official State Agency.

Central Turkey Meat Production Tests were conducted in Minnesota, Nebraska, Pennsylvania and Texas. In each test the entry consisted of 100 poults hatched at the test from a sample of eggs selected at random from the entrant's stock. The entries were grown under practical commercial conditions, except for the handling necessary for weighing the birds and the separation necessary for obtaining feed conversion data.

In the evaluation of the results, no direct comparison should be made between entries in different tests. While the same general procedure was followed in all tests, there were differences, as illustrated by the explanation of feed conversion ratios. There were also variations in the ages of the birds at the time the tests were terminated. Because of these variations and the unavoidable differences between tests in measuring techniques and the application of grading standards, comparisons should be made only between entries within a test.

In comparing entries within a test, small differences should not be over-emphasized. Some differences between entries would be expected due to chance, even though the samples were drawn from the same stock. It would be difficult to determine precisely what part of the variance between two entries was due to a true genetic difference in the stocks and that which was due to chance alone. Statistical procedures may be applied to the test data which will indicate those differences that may be considered non-significant. The application of one such procedure to the Texas test data indicated, for example, that differences of less than 1.1 pounds in the final live weights of Broad Breasted Bronze toms were of no significance. Comparable figures (mean difference required for significance at P-.05) for other factors were:

Texas Test	BBBı	ronze	BBWh	nite
	Toms	Hens	Toms	Hens
Final live wt. (lbs)	1. 1	. 58	1.0	. 62
Body Depth (inches)	. 25	. 14	. 18	. 44
Keel Length (inches)	. 54	. 15	1.2	. 16
Breast Width (inches)	. 29	. 18	. 26	. 16
Dressing Percentage (%)	1. 1	1.5	1.3	1.2

The above figures were derived from the Texas test data only and do not apply to the results of any other test.

* * * * *

Information in this report was compiled by the Animal Husbandry Research Division, Agricultural Research Service.

Entrant: In the tables of results of the Central Turkey Meat Production Tests only the abbreviated names of the entrants and the State in which they are located are given. The complete names and addresses of all entrants appear on pages 4 and 5.

Kind of Stock:

Variety names -

BBB - Broad Breasted Bronze EW - Empire White
BBLW - Broad Breasted Large White
BBW - Broad Breasted White LW - Large White
BW - Broad White

Kind of Flocks Represented -

BR - Breeder Replacement Flocks

SF - Supply Flock

EXP - Experimental mating, no eggs or poults sold during the 1956-57 season.

Strain or Trade Name:

Str. Cr. - Strain Cross

Mating Procedure:

Nat. - Natural mating

Art. - Artificial insemination

Both - Natural mating, supplemented with artificial insemination.

Coefficient of Variability: As the uniformity of the entry increases the numerical value of the coefficient of variability decreases.

Eviscerated Weight: The weight of the fully dressed birds, including the necks and giblets, except in the Nebraska test where only the necks and gizzards were included.

Dressing Percentage: The eviscerated weight expressed as a percentage of the live weight.

Body Measurements: The measurements reported by the Nebraska, Pennsylvania and Texas tests were made on the New York Dressed birds. In the Minnesota test, the measurements were made on the live birds.

Feed Conversion Ratio: The figures reported by the Pennsylvania and Texas tests for each entry and by the Nebraska test for the combined entries represent the pounds of feed required to produce one pound of live turkey.

In the Pennsylvania test the entries were intermingled until eight weeks of age. During this period, feed consumption was recorded and subsequently computed for each entry on a pro-rata basis. From eight weeks to the end of the test, the entries were separated and feed consumption recorded for each entry. The reported feed conversion ratio is based on the computed consumption for the first 8 weeks and the recorded consumption for the remainder of the test.

In the Texas test, the ratios were obtained in the same manner with the following exceptions:
(1) The birds were intermingled by entries but separated by sexes for the first 9 weeks. (2)
After 9 weeks, representative samples of 25 toms and 25 hens from each entry were retained in separate pens and feed consumption recorded for each sample. (3) The reported ratio is based on feed consumption by the 25-bird samples and the computed consumption for the first 9 weeks.

1957 TURKEY REPRODUCTION TEST

Average number of salable poults produced per hen: 21.37

Participant: GRANT'S HATCHERY, INC., CLINTON, WASHINGTON Variety: Broad Breasted Bronze
Strain: Grant's Pure Line
Kind of stock: Supply flock
Mating procedure: Natural and artificial insemination
Number of hens in test: 425
Number of hens in flock: 1,800

1957 CENTRAL TURKEY MEAT PRODUCTION TESTS

Supervisors

Minnesota - Roy C. Munson, Department of Agriculture, 611 State Office Bldg., St. Paul 1 Nebraska - Elvin C. Schultz, Secretary, Nebraska Turkey Federation, Crete Pennsylvania - Raymond D. Schar, Poultry Div., Dept. of Agriculture, Harrisburg Texas - George H. Draper, Supervisor, NTIP, Texas A & M College, College Station

Participants

Name and Address												Page
Ables Hatchery and Turkey Farm, Bolivar, Missouri			٠	٠	0	٠	٠	٠		۰	•	8-12
Anderson's Turkey Farm, Belchertown, Massachusetts	٠			۰			٠			٠		14-18
Anderson Turkey Farm & Hatchery, Perham, Minnesota		٠	٠	۰		٠	٠			0		6
Barnett's Inc., 131 Falls Street, Marlin, Texas		٠			٠					٠		16-18
Bingham Turkey Farm, Route I, Aubrey, Texas							٠		۰	۰		16
Browning Turkey Farm, 10 N. Maple St., Winchester, Ker	ntı	ıc]	ky		۰			٠	٠		6-8	-12-16-18
Central Missouri Turkey Hatchery, Inc., Eldon, Missouri												6-8-16
Cooper Turkey Hatchery, Oakwood, Ohio												12
Ephrata Turkey Hatcheries, Inc., Ephrata, Pennsylvania												12-14
Farmers Hatchery Co., Wadena, Minnesota												6
Gibbon Turkey Egg Pool, Shelton, Nebraska		٠										8
Gozzi, William, Guilford, Connecticut												12-14-18
Grant's Hatchery, Inc., Clinton, Washington												8-16
Great State Turkeys, Coleman, Texas												16
Hall Turkey Ranch, Santa Susana, California												16
Harts Hatchery, Medford, Oregon											-	8
Henning Hatchery, Henning, Minnesota												6
Hildebrand Turkey Hatchery, Seward, Nebraska												8
Ingersoll Turkey Farm, Michigan Valley, Kansas								-			_	8
Janes Bar Nothing Ranch, P.O. Box 918, Austin, Texas											_	12-16-18
Jerome Turkey Farm, Barron, Wisconsin												8
Keithley, B. A., 48050 N. 110 St., W. Lancaster, Califor												8-12-16
Kirby's Rivervene Hatchery, 1100 N. Main, Urbana, Ohio												
Land O'Lakes Creameries, Minneapolis, Minnesota						•						8
Linesville Hatcheries, Linesville, Pennsylvania						•						14
Loomis Hatchery, Hemet, California												8
Decimin Liatement, Literatur, Caritorina	0	0	0				0					0

1957 CENTRAL TURKEY MEAT PRODUCTION TESTS

Participants

Name and Address											Page
The Luling Foundation, P.O. Drawer 31, Luling, Texas		•			•				•	•	16-18
Mannigel's Turkey Farm, Palmyra, Missouri		•			•		•	۰			6-10
Maplecrest Turkey Farm, Inc., Wellman, Iowa	•	•			•	•	•	٠	•	•	10
Morrow, J. M., Carthage, Missouri	•	•	• 0			•		0	٥	0	10
Nicholas Turkey Breeding Farm, 2300 Napa Rd., Sonoma,	Ca	lif	or	nia			•		10	-12	2-14-16-18
Norbest Turkey Breeding Farms, P.O. Box 1529, Salt Lal	ke (Cit	у,	Ut	ah		•	۰			10
Northern Turkey Hatchery, Inc., Frazee, Minnesota		•						•	•	•	6
Palmateer Turkey Farm, Elverson, Pennsylvania	•	•			•		•	٠		•	14
Pinetree Turkey Hatchery, Farmington, Minnesota	•	•			•	•	٠	٠	•	•	6
Ramona Turkey Growers Assn., P.O. Box 447, Ramona,	Cal	if	rn	ia			•		•	•	10-16
Doc Reeds Hatchery, Worthington, Minnesota					•	•	•		•	•	8
Rexrode Turkey Farm and Hatchery, Fayetteville, Pennsy	lva	nia	ì.		a	•	•	•		•	14
River Rest Farms, Route 4, Shawnee, Oklahoma		•			•	•	•	э	•	•	12-14-18
Rochester Turkey Hatchery, Rochester, Minnesota	•	•			•	•	•	۰	•	•	6- 8
Rogge Turkey Farm, Ida Grove, Iowa					•	•	٠	٠	0	•	10
Rusk's Turkey Hatchery, Rt. 2, Box 52, Grandview, Wash	ning	to	n .		•	•			٥	•	12-18
Sand Springs Farm, Rt. 1, Sand Springs, Oklahoma	•	•			ъ	9	٠	э	۰	•	10-16
Segars Turkey Ranch, Turlock, California	•	•			•			•	•	0	12
Shearer, Robert K., Reinholds, Pennsylvania	•	•	•		•				2	•	14
Shetler's Hatchery, Thief River Falls, Minnesota		•			•	•	-			•	6
Spring Grove Hatchery, Spring Grove, Minnesota		•			•		٠	٠		•	6
Sterling Turkey Hatchery, Detroit Lakes, Minnesota	•	•	•			•	•	٠	٥		6
Stout's Turkey Hatchery, Richland, Missouri					•	•		•	•	•	10-12
Sure Hatchery, Broken Bow, Nebraska		•						٠	۰	•	10
Swift & Company, Mt. Vernon, Illinois					•		•	٠		•	18
Thompson's Hatchery, Pottsville, Arkansas					•	•				•	12-14-18
Turrill's Turkey Farm & Hatchery, Laughlintown, Pennsy	lva	nia	a.		•	•	•			•	14
Warren's Turkey Farm, Rehoboth, Massachusetts	•	•	•		•	•		0		•	12
Washore Turkey Association, 920 S. E. Stark, Portland,	Ore	gc	n .		•		0	•			10
Wells Hatchery, Wells, Minnesota		•			•	•			•	0	6
West-Line Breeders Association, Kent, Washington	•	•	• 4		•	•	-	1			10
Western Hatcheries, 106 South Fifth St., Temple, Texas		•			•				•		16-18
Wila Turkey Ranch, L. H. Fosselman, Wila, Pennsylvania	a	•			•						14
Wilford, Harvey M., P.O. Box 185, Elyria, Ohio		•			•	•	•				12-14-18
Worthington Hatchery, Worthington, Minnesota		•			•						6- 8
Wright-Bolton Turkey Ranch, Inc., David City, Nebraska											10-18

	KIND	STRAIN	MATTERIO	NUMBER OF	1	TALITY	(%)			AVEF	RAGE LIV	/E WEIGI	ITS (lbs.)	COEFF.
ENTRANT	OF STOCK	OR TRADE NAME	PRO- CEDURE	HENS IN	2 WEEKS	8 WEEKS	END OF TEST	SEX	8 WEEKS	12 WEEKS	20 WEEKS	22 WEEKS	24 WEEKS	26 WEEKS	VAR. (FINAL LIVE WEIGHT)
						(Bro	onze E	ntries)							
Anderson, Minnesota	ввв	Anderson	Both	6,000	8	13	26	Toms Hens	* 3.1	* 6.1	16.9 12.8	19.3 14.0	14.7	22.4	11.1
Browning, Kentucky	ввв	Browning	Nat.	27,000	6	6	25	Toms Hens	3.5	6.7	17.7 13.3	20.5 14.6	15.0	23.5	9.8 8.6
Central Mo., Missouri	ввв	Waites	Nat.	22,500	9 .	11	34	Toms Hens	3.5	6.8	17.8 13.6	20.6 14.9	15.5	23.6	8.8 7.3
Farmers, Minnesota	ввв	Farmers	Both	354	5	9.	22	Toms Hens	3.7	7.2.	18.9 13.5	21.6 14.9	15.7	24.2	9.4 7.4
Henning, Minnesota	ввв	Pure Hamilton	Both	1,064	6	11	23	Toms Hens	3.3	6.4	17.9 13.3	20.4	15.3	23.6	10.1
Mannigels, Missouri	ввв	Mannigel's	Nat.	700	1	10	26	Toms Hens	2.9	5.8	16.5 12.0	18.5	13.6	21.6	11.0
Northern, Minnesota	ввв	Northern Knights	Both	2,000	10	14	28	Toms Hens	3.6	6.9	19.1 13.9	21.4 15.1	15.6	24.1	12.2
Pinetree, Minnesota	ввв	Pinetree	Both	6,000	5	11	32	Toms Hens	3.2	6.6	17.5 13.0	20.0	15.0	23.0	9.6 9.1
Rochester, Minnesota	ввв	Rochester	Both	45,000	4	8	22	Toms Hens	3.4	6.5	17.5 13.3	20.3 14.3	15.0	23.4	.10.0
Shetler's, Minnesota	ввв	Norminn	Nat.	6,000	4	6	19	Toms Hens	3.4	6.5	17.1 12.8	19.8 13.8	14.6	22.2	9.7 7.7
Shetler's, Minnesota	ввв	Norminn	Nat.	6,000	4	6	21	Toms Hens	3.5	6.8	17.6 12.9	20.4 14.1	14.8	23.2	8.1
Spring Grove, Minnesota	ввв	Spring Grove	Nat.	800	11	23	40	Toms Hens	3.2	6.2	16.6 12.7	19.0 13.9	14.7	21.7	12.6 8.1
Sterling, Minnesota	ввв	Hart Schneider	Both	7,200	5	5	30	Toms Hens	3.5	6.9	18.1 13.4	20.8 14.9	15.5	23.4	9.3 7.5
Wells, Minnesota	ввв	Wells	Nat.	3,000	6	13	32	Toms Hens	3.5	6.9	17.9 13.4	20.2 14.4	15.2	22.9	8.2 9.4
Worthington, Minnesota	ввв	Worthmore #901	Nat.	2,500	4	6	28	Toms Hens	3.4	6.7	18.2 13.2	20.1	15.1	23.2	9.7 4.4
Average Bronze					5.9	10.1	27.2	Toms Hens	3.4	6.6	17.7	20.2	15.0	23.1	10.0

EVISCE WEIG		DRESSING	BREA		BOD DEP		KEF LENG		QU	ERALL		% U. S	. GRADE A	1	FEED CONVER-	ENTRANT
POUNDS	OF OF VAR.	DRE	INCHES	COEFF. OF VAR.	INCHES	COEFF. OF VAR.	INCHES	COEFF. OF VAR.	A A	B B	С	FLESHING	FINISH	FREEDOM OF PIN- FEATHERS	SION RATIO	
	-			-					(Br	onze E	Intries	s)			1	
18.6	11.7	83.0 80.3	4.4	5.7 8.2	9.0 7.3	5.4 4.0	7.2 6.2	5.6 5.0								Anderson
19.5 12.1	10.7	83.0 80.7	4.1	7.5 8.7	9.1 7.5	4.9 4.1	7.6 6.4	4.6 5.5								Browning
19.2	8.2 8.6	81.4 78.1	3.9 3.7	7.8 8.4	9.5 7.8	4.6 4.7	7.7 6.7	5.0 4.1								Central Mo.
20.2	9.0 8.1	83.5 79.0	4.0 3.6	10.1	9.6 7.8	4.7	7.8 6.6	5.0 4.1								Farmers
19.6 12.3	11.4	83.0 80.4	4.2 4.1	10.9	9.2 7.5	4.8 4.3	7.6 6.5	5.5								Henning
17.9 10.8	11.1	82.9 79. 4	3.9	9.2	9.0 7.4	5.0 3.5	7.3 6.3	4.1 4.3					,			Mannigel's
19.6	13.7	81.3 80.8	4.4 4.3	8.8 7.5	9.1 7.5	5.0 4.0	7.3 6.3	4.0								Northern
18.5	10.5	80.4 80.7	3.9 3.9	6.1 7.0	9.4 7.6	4.9	7.5 6.4	4.7 4.8								Pinetree
19.6	8.5 10.8	83.8 78.7	4.3 4.0	7.2 9.0	9.1 7.4	4.6 4.5	7.4 6.3	4.3 5.8								Rochester
18.2 11.5	9.4	82.0 78.8	3.8 3.6	8.8	9.4 7.7	4.5 4.0	7.6 6.6	5.3 6.4								Shetler's
18.9 11.5	8.7 6.8	81.5 77.7	3.8 3.6	8.2 8.5	9.7 7.7	4.2 2.6	7.7 6.5	4.3								Shetler's
17.8 11.7	9.1 7.7	82.0 79.6	4.0	10.9	9.1 7.5	5.2 2.9	7.3 6.4	4.5 4.5								Spring Grove
19.3 12.3	9.8 8.1	82.5 79.4	4.0	8.7 8.4	9.5 7.6	5.3 3.4	7.6 6.5	4.5 4.5								Sterling
19.0 11.9	9.5 11.6	83.0 78.3		8.3 7.7	9.1 7.5	4.5 5.5	7.3 6.3	4.7 7.4								Wells
19.5	10.6		4.7 4.5	10.9	9.0 7.3	5.0	7.2 6.2	4.2								Worthington
19.0	10.1	82.5 79.6	4.1	8.6	9.3 7.5	4.8	7.5 6.4	4.7 5.3								Average Bronze

	KIND	STRAIN	MATING	NUMBER OF	î .	TALITY	(%)			AVER	AGE LIV	E WEIGI	HTS (lbs.))	COEFF.
ENTRANT	OF STOCK	OR TRADE NAME	PRO- CEDURE	HENS IN	2 WEEKS	8 WEEKS	END OF TEST	SEX	8 WEEKS	12 WEEKS	20 WEEKS	22 WEEKS	24 WEEKS	26 WEEKS	VAR. (FINAL LIVE WEIGHT)
					(W	hite E	Intries)							
Jerome, Wisconsin	BW Exp.	Jerome	Nat.	500	4	6	16	Toms Hens		5.8	15.4 11.7	18.0 12.8	13.6	21.4	8.1
Land O'Lakes, Minnesota	BBW	Land O' Lakes	Nat.	500	3	6	10	Toms Hens	3.1	6.1	16.8 11.9	19.2 13.2	13.9	22.3	11.1 7.1
Doc Reed, Minnesota	EW	Doc Reed's	Nat.	26,000	10	13	16	Toms Hens	3.3	6.1	16.4	18.9 12.8	13.5	21.7	7.4 7.5
Rochester, Minnesota	BW	Lancaster	Nat.	45,000	4	11	18	Toms Hens	3.3	6.1	15.9 11.8	18.3 13.0	13.8	21.5	9.4 9.1
Worthington, Minnesota	BW	Thompson	Nat.	10,000	26	34	38	Toms Hens	2.8	6.4	16.1 11.5	18.1 12.9	13.6	21.7	8.4 6.8
Average White					9.3	13.9	19.5	T om s Hens	3.1	6.1	16.1	18.5 12.9	13.7	21.7	8.9

CENTRAL TURKEY MEAT PRODUCTION TEST OF NEBRASKA (Bronze Entries)

Ables, Missouri	BBB SF	Ables	Nat.	2,000	3	17	Toms Hens		9.8	20.4	15.2	28.0	5.2 6.3
Browning, Kentucky	BBB SF	Browning	Nat.	27,000	3	12	Toms Hens	4.9 3.8	10.2 7.5	21.7	15.2	29.2	7.9 7.1
Central Mo., Missouri	BBB SF	Waite's	Both	22,000	7	16	Toms Hens	4.8		21.4	15.6	28.6	11.3
Gibbon, Nebraska	BBB BR	Hunter	Both	6,000	4	20	Toms Hens	5.0 4.0	10.3	21.5	15.5	29.7	7.3 8.1
Grant, Washington	BBE SF	Grant's Pure Line	Nat.	3,000	6	19	Toms Hens	5.0	10.0	21.6	15.6	30.3	9.9 5.5
Hart, Oregon	BBB BR	Hart's	Nat.	3,200	2	9	Toms Hens	4.8 3.8	9.8 7.6	20.8	15.3	28.1	7.1 7.8
Hildebrand, Nebraska	BBB SF	Hildebrand	Nat.	1,100	4	20	Toms Hens	4. 7 3. 9	9.9	21.0	15.3	28.9	9.8 7.3
Ingersoll, Kansas	BBB SF	Ingersoll	Nat.	1,600	5	10	Toms Hens		9.2 7.1	18.9 13.2	14.3	27.3	9.4 6.6
Kirby, Ohio	BBB BR	Arnett's	Nat.	1,200	5	21	Toms Hens	4.5	9.3 7.3	20.4	15.3	27.3	8.1 5.4
Keithley, California	BBB SF	Keithley #212	Nat.	21,000	8	21	Toms Hens	4.8 3.9	10.1	21.9	16.1	29.6	8.9 7.0
Loomis, California	BBB SF	Loomis Bronze	Both	41,000	7	15	Toms Hens	4.8 3.7	9.8 7.4	21.0	14.8	29.3	9.2 7.1

	ERATED GHT	ING	BREA		BOD DEP		KEE LENG			ERALL ALITY		% U. S.	GRADE A	A FOR:	FEED	
POUNDS		DRESSING	INCHES		INCHES		INCHES	COEFF.	% U. S	GRADI	3	FLESHING	FINISH	FREEDOM OF PIN-	CONVER- SION RATIO	ENTR ANT
	VAR.	ď		VAR.		VAR.		VAR.	A /2	В	С			FEATHERS		
1	T 0 0	02.7	2.0	1 / 5		Ε	1		(Whi	te Ent	ries)			1	1	7.
17.7	9.0	82.7 77.2	3.9 3.9		9.0 7.3	4.1	7.2 6.1	4. 2 4. 3								Jerome
18.4	11.6	82.5 77.0			9.0 7.4	5.8 3.5	7.2	5.0 5.5								Land O' Lakes
17.9 10.3	8.4	82.5 76.3			9.0 7.3	3.8 4.5	7.3 6.2	3.6 5.0								Doc Reed
17.7 10.5	9.9	82.3 76.1	1	8.4 7.0	9.2 7.6	4.4 4.1	7.2 6.3	5.8 5.6								Rochester
18.0	9.8 6.8	82.9 7 6.5			8.7 7.2	4.1 2.9	7.0 6.1	4. 5 3.8								Worthington
															,	
17.9	9.7	82.6 76.6			9.0 7.4	4.4 3.7	7.2	4.6								Average White

CENTRAL TURKEY MEAT PRODUCTION TEST OF NEBRASKA (Bronze Entries)

22.3	6.8	79.6 77.6	4.2 4.4	7.6 10.1	9.5 7.4	4.6 3.4	7. 7 6.1	5.7	97.2 100.0	0.0	2.8			Ables
23.0	9.3	78.8 77.6		8.8 7.4	9.3 7.1	4.1 15.5	7.7 6.1	5.0 4.5	92.7 97.8	4.9	2.4			Browning
23.2	11.3	81.1 76.9		8.3 11.6	9.4 7.4	5.2 3.3	7.9 6.1	· 6.6 4.4	91.7 91.1	5.6 4.4	2.8			Central Mo.
23.7	7.2 8.3	79.8 78.1	4.6 4.7		9. 4 7.2	4.8 3.5	7.8 6.1	6.1 5.0	92.1 9 4.7	2.6 5.3	5.3			Gibbon
23.6 12.2	9.6 5.6	77.9 78.2	4.4 4.3		9.6 7.4	3.8 3.5	7.8 6.3	5.6 4.3	93.5 91.7	0.0	6.5 4. 2			Grant
22.8	7.6 8.1	81.1 78.4	4. 8 5. 0	,	9.3 7.2	4.8 4.1	7.7 6.2	5.1 4.4	89.4 93.0	6.4	4.3 2.3			Hart
23.0	10.2	79.6 77.8	4.4		9.4 7.3	4.8 3.5	7.8 6.1	5.8 4.9	92.1 95.1	7.9	0.0			Hildebrand
21.9	10.2	80.2 78.3	4.1 4.3		9.3 7.2	5.0 4.0	7.6 6.1	5.9 5.1	91 .7 92.2	8.3	0.0			Ingersol
22.4	8.0 5.7	82.1 7 9.1	5.1 5.3		9.0 7.0	3.9	7.5 5.9	5.5 4.7	100.0	0.0	0.0			Kirby
23.8 12.5	10.0	80.4 77.6	5.1 5.1	8.8	9.1 7.2	5. 7 3.6	7.6 6.0	6.3 4.9	94.7 97.6	5.3 2.4	0.0			Keithley
23.1	9.3 7.4	78.8 78.4	4.7	8.1	9.3 7.1	3.5 4. 1	7.7 6.0	5.2 4. 6	9 4. 9 9 7. 6	2.6	2.6			Loomis
	1													

E LIVE WEIGHTS (lbs	IVE WEIGHTS (lbs.)	COEFF
22 24 EKS WEEKS WEEKS		
19.7 13.6 14.4		27.4 5.9 5.0
22.1 14.4 15.7		9.9 8.5 6.3
20.7 14.6 15.8		9.9 6.9 6.7
20.4		7.6 7.1 5.7
21.9 15.9 16.4		7.4 6.3
20.8 14.5 15.5		8.9 8.5 8.0
21.0 14.5 15.6		0.5 5.9 6.6
21.0		9.3 7.7 7.6
21.0 14.5 15.3		7.9 9.2 6.2
20.0		6.6 7.8 8.8
21.7 14.1 15.0		8.7 9.9 6.0
20.7 14.0 15.1		9.6 8.0 6.5
22.8 14.9 16.1		9.8 9.5 5.8
19.9 15.0 15.5		9.3 6.8 6.2
21.2 14.0 15.0		9.9 8.3 6.7
21.0 14.3 15.3		8.9 8.1 6.7
	_	11.3

CENTRAL TURKEY MEAT PRODUCTION TEST OF NEBRASKA

EVISCE WEIG	ERATED GHT	DRESSING	BRE WID		BO		KE LEN			VERALL UALITY		% U. S	GRADE A	FOR:	FEED	
POUNDS	COEFF. OF VAR.	DRES	INCHE	COEFF OF VAR.	INCHES	COEFF. OF VAR.	INCHE:	COEFF OF VAR.	% U.	S. GRAD	E C	FLESHING	FINISH	FREEDOM OF PIN- FEATHERS	CONVER - SION RATIO	ENTRANT
			1	-		-		(Bro	onze En	-		1)				
22.2	6.6	81.0 78.5	4.3		9.4 7.3	3.7 4.1	7.4 5.9	4.7		1 /	0.0					Mannigel
23.8	8.8 6.3	79.6 78.3	4.6 4.5	7.4 8.5	9.5 7.4	4.1 4.1	7.8 6.2	6.1 4.6		1	6.7					Maplecrest
24.1	6.9	80.6 77.8	5.0 5.0	7.5 8.5	9.2 7.2	3.9	8.0 6.3	5.5 5.5			5.4				,	Morrow
22.4	9.7 6.1	81.2 77.3	4.6	7.1	9.5 7.3	4.5 3.2	7.5 6.0	5.4 6.0	88.2 95.0	2.9	8.8					Nicholas
25.0 12.8	8.1	81.2 78.0	5.0 5.0	8.5 9.6	9.3 7 .2	4. 2 3. 9	7.8 6.1	5.4 5.6	94.3 95.2	2.9	2.9					Norbest #120
23.4	7.7	81.0 77.4	4.8 4.8	7.7	9.1 7.2	4.0	7.7 6.1	6.1 4.9	95.2 97.6	2.4	2.4					Norbest S-30-H
24.6	6.3 7.0	80.7 78.8	4.9	7.5 7.2	9. 4 7.2	3.3	7.9 6.1	4.9	97.4 97.7	2.6	0.0					Norbest #326
23.4	8.3 7.9	79.9 77.3	4.3 4.2	9.1 11.2	9.5 7.4	5.8 4.0	7.9 6.1	5.3 5.4	88.9 100.0	8.3	2.8					Rogge
22.6	8.3	81.0 78.4	4.7	8.7 7.6	9.4 7.3	3.3	7.4	5.5 4.2	95.1 100.0	0.0	4.9					Ramona
21.7	9.9 8.4	81.6 7 9.9	4.7 4.8	7.1 10.6	9.4 7.2	3.7 3.8	7.5 6.1	6.1 4.7	9 4.4 90.2	2.8	2.8					Sand Springs
23.2	9.0	80.8 79.3	4.8 4.8	9.5 7.9	9.3 7.1	3.8	7.5 6.1	6.2 4.4	95.7 100.0	2.2	2.2					Stout
23.2	8.8	78.4 77.5	.4.2 4.2	7.6 11.5	9.3 7.1	10.3 15.9	7.7 6.2	5.0 4.3	97.2 95.2	2.8	0.0 4.8					Sure
23.5	11.5	78.9 78.3	5.0 5.2	11.1 4.4	8.9 7.1	17.7 3.4	7. 9 6.2	7.0 4.4	94.4 93.5	5.6 4.3	0.0 2.2					Washore
23.1	7.4	78.8 78.7	4.8	7.1 7.4	9.3 7.2	3.9 3.6	7.7 6.1	6.7 5.0	93.9 94.9	0.0	6.1					West Line
24.2	7.0 6.4	80.9 78.0	4.4	8.6 9.2	9.6 7. 2	3.6 3.2	7.9 6.1	5.5 4.9	97.3 92.3	2. 7 7. 7	0.0					Wright - Bolton
23.2	8 .6 7. 2	80.3 78.4	4.6	8.2	9.3 7.2	5.0 4.6	7.7 6.1	5.7 4.9	94.1 95.5	3.1	2.8					Average Bronze

	CTD 4 PA			1	TALITY	(%)			AVE	RAGE LI	VE WEIG	HTS (lbs.	.)	COEFF.
OF STOCK	OR TRADE NAME	PRO-	HENS IN	2 WEEKS	8 WEEKS	END OF TEST	SEX	8 WEEKS	12 WEEKS	20 WEEKS	22 WEEKS	24 WEEKS	26 WEEKS	VAR. (FINAL LIVE WEIGHT)
	,			(W	hite E	ntries)		, .					
LBW SF	Thomps on's	Nat.	6,000		8	18	Toms Hens	3.4	7.7 6.1		12.1	19.5		9.1
BBW SF	Browning	Nat.	5,200		7	8	Toms Hens	3.4	8.0 6.3		12.8	20.4		8.9 7.8
GW BR	Gozzi's	Both	2,300		3	10	Toms Hens	3.5	8.3		13.0	21.1		9.8 7.8
BBW SF	Keithley	Nat.	400		26	36	Toms Hens	3.9	8.8 7.1		13.2	20.5		9.4
BBW BR	Rivervene	Nat.	2,200		3	13	Toms Hens	3.4 3.0	7.9 6.3		12.3	19.3		8.1 7.8
LW BR	Nicholas White	Nat.	410		10	15	Toms Hens	4.0	8.9		14.1	21.1		10.5
LW BR	River Rest	Nat.	6,000		5	10	Toms Hens	3.5	8.0		12.7	20.2		7.4
LW BR	Rusk's	Nat.	140		8	19	Toms Hens	3.8	8.7		13.4	21.9		8.3 5.8
BBW SF	Segars	Nat.	1,200		4	10	Toms Hens	4.0	8.9 7.2		13.9	21.8		9.8 8.5
BBW BR	Stout's	Nat.	5,000		14	16	Toms Hens	3.5	7.9 6.4		12.2	19.6		10.0
BW SF	Thompson's	Both	5,000		5	13	Toms Hens	3.5	8.1		12.8	20.2		8.8
BW BR	Warren's	Nat.	2,500		20	20	Toms Hens	3.5 3.0	8.2 6.5		12.6	20.1		9.1 6.7
LW BR	Wilford	Nat.	1,500		20	25	Toms Hens	3.5	7.9 6.2		12.5	19.4		9.2 7.7
					10.2	16.4			8.3 6.6		12.9	20.4		9.1 8.0
	CENTRA	LTU	RKEYI	MEAT				T OI	PE	NNS Y	LVAN	ĮΑ		
BBB SF	Browning	Nat.		1.0	1.0	10.0	Toms Hens					23.5 16.1	25.4	9.5 8.1
BBB SF	Waite King Size	Nat.		0.0	3.7	11.4	Toms Hens					23.3 16.5	25.4	10.3
BBB SF	Hamilton	Nat.		7.4	10.6	13.8	Toms Hens	3.2	8.0			23.9 17.1	25.3	14.1
BBB SF	Janes Tex.Spec.	Both		0.0	0.0	4.1	Toms Hens		1 1				25.4	8.5
	LBW SF BBW SF BBW BR LW BR LW BR LW BR LW BR LW BR LW BR BBW SF BBW SF BBW SF BBW SF BBW SF	LBW Thompson's SF BBW Gozzi's BBW Keithley SF Rivervene BR Rivervene BR River LW River BR Rest LW Rusk's BR Segars SF SH Stout's BR Warren's BR Warren's BR Warren's BR Waite King Size BBB Janes	LBW Thompson's Nat. BBW Gozzi's Both BBW Rivervene Nat. BBW Rivervene Nat. BR River Nat. LW River Rest LW Rusk's Nat. BBW Segars Nat. BBW Stout's Nat. BBW Stout's Nat. BW Warren's Nat. BW Warren's Nat. BW Warren's Nat. BW Warren's Nat. BR Waite Nat. BR Waite Nat. BR Waite Nat. BR Waite Nat. BBB BR Waite SF Nat. BBB BBB Janes Both	OF STOCK OR TRADE NAME PROCEDURE FLOCK LBW Thompson's Nat. 6,000 SF BBW Browning Nat. 5,200 BBW Gozzi's Both 2,300 BBW Rivervene Nat. 2,200 LW Nicholas Nat. 410 BR River Nat. 6,000 LW River Nat. 6,000 BR Rest Nat. 140 BR Rest Nat. 140 BR Segars Nat. 1,200 BBW Segars Nat. 1,200 BBW Shout's Nat. 5,000 BR Warren's Nat. 2,500 BW Warren's Nat. 2,500 LW Wilford Nat. 1,500 CENTRAL TURKEY I	NAME	STRAIN OR TRADE NAME NUMBER OF FROCK NAME NAME NUMBER OF FROCK NAME NA	NAME	NAME NATING PROOF NATING PROOF NAME NAME	NAME NAME	STRAING NAME Name	STRAIN S	STRAIN NAME PROPERTION PROPERTION	Name	Name

CENTRAL TURKEY MEAT PRODUCTION TEST OF NEBRASKA

EVISCERATED WEIGHT		SING	BREA WIDT		BOD DEP1		KEI LENG		QU	ERALL		% U. S.	GRADE A	FOR:	FEED	
POUNDS	COEFF. OF VAR.	DRESSING PERCENTAGE	INCHES	COEFF. OF VAR.	INCHES	COEFF. OF VAR.	INCHES	COEFF. OF VAR.	% U. S	B B	С	FLESHING	FINISH	FREEDOM OF PIN- FEATHERS	CONVER- SION RATIO	ENTRANT
	-						(White	Entrie	s)						
15.3 9.4	9.1	78.5 77.7	3.8	10.7	8.5 6.8	8.1 4.4	6.7 5.9	5.4 7.9	84.6 100.0	12.8	2.6					Ables
16.0	9.7 7.9	78.4 75.0	4.2	8.7 6.4	8.7 6.9	6.7 3.1	6.7 5.8	4,9 12.7	88.4 89.5	7.0	4.7 7.9					Browning
16.4 10.1	10.1	77.7 77.7	4.8	10.5 9.3	8.5 6.6	5.4 4.8	6.7 5.8	4.7	95.7 95.5	2.2	2.2					Gozzi
16.1 10.5	8.6	78.5 79.5	4.4	8.8 6.4	8.6 7.1	5.8 3.1	6.7 6.0	7.5 6.4	96.4 97.1	3.6	0.0					Keithley
15.1	8.3	78.2 74.0	3.9	9.4 8.3	8.7	4.7 3.6	6.7 5.7	5.3	86.4 97.5	11.4	2.3					Kirby
16.8	10.4	79.6 78.7	4.4	8.1 7.4	8.8 7.1	5.0 3.2	6.6	10.5	97.7 97.4	2.3	0.0 2.6					Nicholas
15.8	8.0 8.7	78.2 78.0	3.8	6.7 7.2	8.7 7.0	4.4 4.4	6.8 6.2	5.9 7.0	85.4 91.5	14.6 8.5	0.0					River Rest
16.9	7.9	77.2 76.9	4.0	9.6 8.4	8.7 7.0	5.5 2.7	7.0 6.0	7.6	87.8 100.0	9.8 0.0	0.0					Rusk
17.3	10.3	79.4 76.3	4.1 3.8	10.0	9.0 7.2	5.0 4.0	7.0 5.9	8.2 7.4	91.1 93.0	8.9 2.3	0.0 4.7					Segars
15.6	10.4	79.6 77.9	3.8 3.7	8.7 8.2	8.8 7.1	7.3 6.1	6.7 5.7	7.0 5.8	100.0 100.0	0.0	0.0					Stout
15.8	8.9	78.2 78.9	4.3	9.4 8.1	8.7 6.9	4.4	6.7 5.8	6.8 4.5	88.1 100.0	9.5 0.0	2.4					Thompson
15.8	9.9 6.4	78.6 78.6	4.3	13.2 7.4	8.6	4.7 3.8	6.6 5.7	5.7	96.9 100.0	3.1	0.0					Warren
15.4 9.6	10.2	79.4 76.8	4.3 4.4	12.0 7.4	8.5 6.8	5.0 3.3	6.5 5.7	6.1 10.4	94.4 100.0	5.6 0.0	0.0					Wilford
16.0	9.4 8.0	78.4 77.5	4.2	9.7 7.9	8.7 6.9	5.5 3.8	6.7 5.9	6.6 7.7	91.8 97.0	7.0	1.3				3.81*	Average White
		1	()	CENT	RAL T	rurk	EY M		PRODU Bronze			T OF F	ENNSY	LVANIA	A	
20.8	10.6	81.9 83.2	6.4	6.7 4.9	8.8 7.2	2.5	7.6 6.8	4.5 4.2	63.0 70.2	37.0 29.8	0.0	88.9	74.1 80.2	77.8 95.7	4.37*	Browning
21.1	10.6	83.1 80.6	5.6 5.7	9.8 6.3	9.0 7.2	3.8 3.1	7 .8 6.9	4.1	71.4 56.7	22.9 43.3	5. 7 0.0	100.0	72.2 56.7	94.2 96.7	4.35	Cooper
21.2	16.3 7.4	83.8 81.3	6.7	9.7 7.0	8.7 7.0	4.6 4.2	7.7	4.9	71.4 51.4	26.2 48.6	2.4	83.3 9 7 .3	78.6 54.1	100.0 97.3	4.03	Ephrata
21.1	9.6 11.8	83.1 79.4	5.9 5.6	5.8 5.0	8.8 6. 7	2.7	7.6 6.5	3.6 5.3	76.6 69.8	23.4 30.2	0.0	95.7 10 0 .0	89.4 72.1	91.5 97.7	4.10	Janes

	KIND	STRAIN	MATING	NUMBER OF	Ī	TALITY	(%)	_	AVERAGE LIVE WEIGHTS (lbs.)							
ENTRANT	OF STOCK	OR TRADE NAME	PRO- CEDURE	HENS IN	2 WEEKS	8 WEEKS	END OF TEST	SEX	8 WEEKS	I2 WEEKS	20 WEEKS	22 WEEKS	24 WEEKS	26 WEEKS	OF VAR. (FINAI LIVE WEIGH	
					(Bro	nze E	ntries	-Cont'd)							
Kirby's, Ohio	BBB SF	Arnett Rivervene	Nat.		2.4	4.7	9.4	Toms Hens	3.1	7.7	18.2 13.4	21.3	23.9 16.6	25.7	9.9	
Linesville, Pennsylvania	BBB SF	Conyer	Nat.		5.0	8.0	16.0	Toms Hens	3.3	7.8	18.3 13.0	21.6	24.0 16.4	26.3	9.9	
Nicholas, California	BBB BR	Nicholas	Both		6.0	6.0	12.0	Toms Hens	3.1	8.1	17.9 14.0	20.5	22.9 17.2	24.5	9.4	
Shearer, Pennsylvania	BBB SF	Shearer	Both		2.4	3.7	6.1	Toms Hens	3.4	8.4	18.9 14.0	21.6	23.9	25.7	8.9 8.3	
Turrill's, Pennsylvania	BBB SF	Turrill	Art.		10.2	13.3	14.3	Toms Hens	3.3	8.1	18.0 13.1	20.9	23.1	24.7	8.8 5.0	
Wila, Pennsylvania	BBB BR	Cooper	Art.		1.0	2.0	7.1	Toms Hens	3.2	8.0.	18.3 13.3	21.2 15.3	23.0 16.2	24.7	8.6	
Average Bronze					3.5	5.3	10.4	Toms Hens	3.2	8.6	18.2 13.5	21.2	23.5	25.3	9.8 7.4	
	(White Entries)														-	
Anderson's, Massachusetts	BBLW BR	Block- buster	Art.		2.0	2.0	7.1	Toms Hens	3.0	7.4 5.7	17.2 12.5	19.5 13.8	21.4	22.9	8.9 9.8	
Ephrata, Pennsylvania	BW SF	Segars	Nat.		1.0	3.0	8.0	Toms Hens	3.3	7.6 6.0	17.3 12.6	20.0	22.0 15.4	24.2	9.1 5.7	
River Rest, Oklahoma	LW BR	River Rest	Nat.		6.2	16.0	22.2	Toms Hens	2.5	6.5 5.3	16.7 12.1	19.5 13.7	21.7	23.6	12.2	
Gozzi, Connecticut	BBLW BR	Guilford White	Both		5.0	6.0	9.0	Toms Hens	2.7	7.0 5.7	17.3 12.6	20.2	22.9 15.5	24.8	12.2	
Linesville, Pennsylvania	BW SF	Thompson	Nat.		7.0	11.0	15.0	Toms Hens	2.4	6.6	15.2 11.0	17.5 12.6	19.2 13.5	20.4	10.6	
Nicholas, California	BBW BR	Nicholas	Nat.		5.1	5.1	13.3	Toms Hens	3.4	8.3	17.9 13.2	21.5	23.3	24.9	10.9	
Palmateer's, Pennsylvania		Thompson	Nat.		8.0	9.0	11.0	Toms Hens	2.6	6.9	16.8 11.8		21.7	24.2	8.1	
Rexrode, Pennsylvania	BBW SF	Rexrode	Both		2.0	4.1	9.2	Toms Hens	2.6	6.6	15.8 11.5		20.5	22.9	9.6	
Thompson, Arkansas	BW BR	Thompson	Both		1.0	8.1	15.2	Toms Hens	2.7	7.0 5.3	17.0 12.0		21.9	24.5	7.7	
Wilford, Ohio	BBW BR	3-C-88	Both		7.0	7.0	12.0	Toms Hens	2.8	7.3 5.4	17.3 11.8		22.5 14.4	24.4	6.9	
Average White					4.4	7.1	12.2	Toms Hens	2.8	7.1 5.5	16.9 12.1		21.7	23.7	9.6	

CENTRAL TURKEY MEAT PRODUCTION TEST OF PENNSYLVANIA

EVISCERATED BRACE NITS STAND OF COEFF. POUNDS OF COEFF. P		TAGE	BREA.		BOD DEPT		KEE LENG		QU	ERALL		% U. S.	GRADE A	FOR:	FEED CONVER-	
POUNDS	COEFF. OF VAR.	DRESS	INCHES	COEFF. OF VAR.	INCHES	COEFF. OF VAR.	INCHES	COEFF. OF VAR.	% U. !	s. GRADE	С	FLESHING	FINISH	FREEDOM OF PIN- FEATHERS	SION	ENTRANT
								(Br	onze E	ntries	-Cont'	d)				
21.2	10.2	82.5 80.7	7.1	10.4	8.4 6.7	5.6 3.9	7.3 6.7	5.4 4.7	79.3 77.8	20.7	0	96.6 100.0	79.3 77.8	93.1	4.19*	Kirby's
20.9	10.7 9.4	79.5 7 9.9	6.5	9.3 5.4	8.5 6.9	3.3	7.5 6.7	3.7 4.9	75.6 91.3	24.4 8.7	0	93.3 100.0	84.4 91.3	86.7 100.0	4.07	Linesville
19.9	9.1 6.0	81.2 81.4	6.8	5.4 5.8	8.3 6.9	6.2 3.6	7.2 6.9	3.2 3.8	56.3 73.9	37.5 26.1	6.2	68.8 100.0	68.8 73.9	93.8	4.40	Nicholas
21.6	9.9 9.0	84.0 80.7	7.7 7.1	6.3	8.4 7.0	3.8 3.7	7.2 6.8	3.9 3.4	55.9 73.3	32.3 26.7	11.8	97.1 96.7	55.9 73.3	94.1 90.0	3.80	Shearer
20.7	9.2 6.0	83.8 81.6	7.3 7.0	7.2	8.2 6.8	5.5 2.8	7.3 6.8	3.7 5.2	59.4 74.4	34.4 25.6	6.2	96.9 100.0	81.3 79.5	75.0 94.9	3.86	Turrill's
20.8	7.8 8.1	84.2 82.7	7.6 7.1	5.7 4.0	8.1 6.6	4.5 3.3	7.2 6.7	3.8 5.1	66.7 56.5	30.6 43.5	2.7	88.9 100.0	80.6 56.5	88.9 97.8	3.95	Wila
20.9	10.4	82.7 81.2	6.8 6.4	7.6 5.3	8.5 6.9	4.3 3.6	7.4 6.8	4.1 4.4	67.6 69.5	28.9	3.5	91.0 99.4	76.5 70.5	89.5 97.0	4.11	Average Bronze
	-		-						(W	hite E	ntries)				
19.1	11.2 13.2	83.4 80.1	6.7 6.5	6.9 4.6	8.5 6.6	3.9 3.9	6.9	4.1 6.8	90.7 55.3	7.0	2.3	90.7 95.7	97.7 55.3	97.7	4.33	Anderson's
19.9	9.3 7.1	82.2 80.5	5.7 5.8	9.7 4.5	8.7 6.9	2.6	7.4 6.6	3.7	91.7 89.8	8.3	0	100.0	91.7 89.8	100.0	4.24	Ephrata
19.1	11.1 7.1	80.9 79.7	5.6 5.8	7.3 4.8	8.6 6.8	4.6 4.1	7.4	5.8 3.3	73.7 62.9	15.8 37.1	10.5	94.7	73.7 62.9	100.0	4.30	River Rest
20.4	12.2	82.3 81.3	7.1 6.5	8.3 4.5	8.5 6.7	4.6 4.3	7.2 6.6	5.4 4.3	100.0	0 23.9	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	4.00	Gozzi
15.7	14.1 8.9	77.0 79.3	5.5 5.6	7.5 5.2	8.2 6.4	4.8 3.9	7.1 6.2	5.3 4.2	42.9 87.2	57.1 12.8	0	78.6 100.0	50.0 87.2	100.0	4.49	Linesville
19.7	9.7 9.0	79.1 81.8	6.2	7.3 6.3	8.7	4.5 3.6	7.2 6.8	4.8	97.7 80.0	2.3	0	97.7 100.0	100.0	100.0	4.27	Nicholas
19.3 11.2	9.3	79.8 78.3	6.1	6.2	8.4	2.5	7.3 6.5	3.8	95.0 88.1	5.0	0	100.0	95.0 88.1	100.0	4.19	Palmateer's
18.1	9.7 6.1	79.0 78.6	6.2 5.9	6.3	8.3	3.8 2.7	7.0 6.4	4.1	88.9 91.7	11.1	0	97.2 100.0	91. 7 91.7	100.0	4.23	Rexrode
19.4 11.6	8.8	79.2 80.0	6.3 5. 9	7.9		3.9	7.2 6.5		70.6 82.6	23.5	5.9	100.0	70.6 82.6	100.0	4.13	Thompson
20.0	8.5 10.9		6.5	9.3 7.5		2.9 4. 0	7.2 6.5	3.6 5.0	97.1 79.5	2.9	0	100.0	97.1 79.5	100.0	4.19	Wilford
19.1	1	80.5 79.8		7.7 5.2		3.8	7.2 6.5		84.8 79.3	13.3	1.9	95.9 99.3	86.8 79.3	99.8	4.24	Average White
	1	1	ł	i	1	1	1	1	1		-		1			1

	KIND	STRAIN			1	TALITY	(%)			AVER	RAGE LI	VE WEIG	HTS (lbs.)	COEFF.
ENTRANT	OF STOCK	OR TRADE NAME	PRO- CEDURE	NUMBER OF HENS IN FLOCK	2 WEEKS	8 WEEKS	END OF TEST		9 WEEKS	12 WEEKS	20 WEEKS	22 WEEKS	24 WEEKS	27 WEEKS	VAR. (FINAL LIVE WEIGHT)
					(B	ronze	Entri	es)						-	
Barnett, Texas	BR BBB	Barnett	Nat.	1,700	100	3	5	Toms Hens	4.9	7.2		16.7		21.8	14.8 6.8
Bingham, Texas	BR BBB	Bingham	Both	2,000	1	2	5	Toms Hens	4.9	7.4 6.1		16.4 12.2		22.3	12.1 7.9
Browning, Kentucky	SF BBB	Browning	Nat.	27,000	1	1	1	Toms Hens	5.2	7.7 6.4		17.8 13.0		23.9	11.6
Central Mo., Missouri	SF BBB	Waite's BBB	Nat.	22,000	1	3	5	Toms Hens	5.3 4.3	7.9 6.3		18.4 13.6		25.0	9.2 7.5
Grant's Washington	SF BBB	Grant's Pure Line	Both	3,000	5	6	12	Toms Hens	5.2	7.5		17.4 13.6		24.2	10.3 11.4
Great State, Texas	SF BBB	Hart's Schneider	Nat.	1,600	2	2	3	Toms Hens	5.3	7.7		17.8 13.4		24.1	10.3
Hall, California	BR BBB	Hall Manon Brand	Nat.	8,000	1	1	4	Toms Hens	5.1 4.1	7.1		16.9 12.3		22.3	9.7
Janes Bar Nothing,Texas	SF BBB	Str. Cr. Tex.Spec.	Both	12,000	-	4	6	Toms Hens	5.1 4.1	7.3		17.5 11.9		23.2	8.8
Janes Bar Nothing,Texas	BR BBB	Janes Meat Str.	Both	550	2	4	8	Toms Hens	4.7	7.6 6.1		18.5 12.4		24.8	11.3
Janes Bar Nothing, Texas	SF BBB	Str. Cr. #258	Both	275	2	3	6	Toms Hens	5.2 4.6	7.6 6.7		18.2 13.5		24.3	11.4
Keithley, California	SF BBB	Str. Cr. #212	Art.	15,000	-	2	3	Toms Hens	5.3 4.4	7.4 6.5		17.6 13.8		23.8	11.8
Kirby, Ohio	BR BBB	Arnett's	Nat.	1,200	2	3	4	Toms Hens	4.9 4.2	7.3		17.1 12.9		22.9	14.0
Luling Found., Texas	BR BBB	Luling	Nat.	145	3	3	4	Toms Hens		6.9		16.8 12.1		23.8	12.9
Nicholas, California	BR BBB	Nicholas	Both	8,000	2	4	8	Toms Hens		7.9		18.0 13.5		24.3	8.8 5.7
Ramona, California	BR BBB	Vernon Cornelius	Both	1,400	1	1	6			7.6		18.3 12.8		25.5	9.8 6.9
Sand Springs, Oklahoma	SF BBB	Bingham	Nat.	2,450	-	1	9			6.8		16.4 12.1		21.0	16.2
Western, Texas	SF BBB	Nicholas	Both	25,000	-	-				7.6 6.1		17.5 12.8		23.1	9.9

	POUNDS OF OF VAR.		BREA WIDT		BOD DEP		KEI LENG		QU	ERALL ALITY		% U. S.	GRADE A	FOR:	FEED CONVER-	Chien Alie
POUNDS	COEFF. OF VAR.	DRES	INCHES	COEFF. OF VAR.	INCHES	COEFF. OF VAR.	INCHES	COEFF. OF VAR.	% U. S	B B	С	FLESHING	FINISH	FREEDOM OF PIN- FEATHERS	SION RATIO	ENTRANT
									(Bronze	Entr	ies)			al a		
17.8	14.0 7.8	81.8 80.4	5.1 4.0	13.0 5.6	9.2 7.0	5.4 4.5	7.2 5.9	6.0 4.2	54.7 62.5	41.5 37.5	3.8	87.7 100.0	66.0 70.8	56.6 75.0	3.38 3.31	Barnett
18.1	11.2	81.4 81.6	5.3 4.3	9.5 7.0	9.3 7.1	3.2 3.5	7.3 5.9	4.7	48.9 56.5	51.1 43.5	-	97.8 100.0	57.8 56.5	75.6 65.2	4.00 3.46	Bingham
19.4	11.8	81.2 80.5	5.2 4.2	12.7 5.8	9.7 7.4	4.9	7.6 6.2	5.5 2.8	64.6 87.0	35.4 13.0	-	97.0 100.0	72.9 87.0	72.1 91.3	3.81 3.49	Browning
20.2	9.5 7.6	80.7 79.4	5.0 4.1	12.8	9.9 7.4	4.5	7.7 6.3	4.7	75.0 82.1	25.0 17.9	-	91.7	83.3 85.7	77.1 89.3	3.63 3.35	Central Mo.
19.7 10.8	11.6	81.1 79.6	5.2 4.3	15.4	9.8 7.3	4.2 4.1	7.6 6.2	5.6	74.4 86.4	23.3	2.3	95.3 100.0	90.7	79.1 90.5	3.98 3.67	Grant's
19.6 10.8	10.6	81.7 80.3	5.5 4.2	13.6 5.3	9.3 7.2	4.9 3.7	7.5 6.3	6.3	67.3 83.3	32.7 16.7	-	95.9 100.0	79.6 87.5	75.5 87.5	3.74 3.59	Great State
18.0	10.3	80.8 80.5	5.3 4.2	11.6	9.1 7.0	4.3 5.0	7.3 5.8	6.4 5.2	57.1 65.2	42.9 34.8	-	100.0 95.7	71.4 78.3	69.4 73.3	3.72 3.53	Hall
18.7	9.6 9.7	80.4 80.0	5.1 3.9	12.4 7.3	9.7 7.1	3.4 3.2	7.5 5.9	3.3 4.1	76.6 64.0	23.4 36.0	-	97.9	87.2 68.0	70.9 76.0	4.05 3.75	Janes
20.2	11.9	81.4 80.0	5.5 4.1	13.5 7.3	9.8 7.2	3.7 3.4	7.6 5.9	5.5 3.8	67.4 60.0	32.6 40.0	-	100.0	86.0 68.0	69.8 92.0	4.16 3.47	Janes
19.8	11.8	81.6	5.6 4.4	14.1 7.9	9.2 7.2	6.0	7.6 6.2	5.8 4.5	67.3 80.0	32.7 20.0	-	100.0	81.6 84.0	75.5 92.0	3.52 3.53	Janes
19.3 11.2	11.8	81.4 81.6	5.5 4.5	13.8 7.9	9.3 7.2	5.0 3.9	7.3 6.1	5.8 5.2	42.0 68.0	54.0 32.0	4.0	96.0 100.0	56.0 76.0	50.0 80.0	3.77 3.49	Keithley
18.6	14.4	81.1 80.9	5.4 4.2	17.0 7.9	9.0 7.1	5.6 3.2	7.3 6.0	6.3 3.8	50.0 70.8	46.0 29.2	4.0	98.0 100.0	64.0 75.0	52.0 83.3	3.81 3.58	Kirby
18.7	13.4 7.2	80.8 79.2	4.9 3.8	14.1 7.5	9.5 6.9	4.4	7.5 5.8	5.5 5.5	56.8 65.4	40.9	2.3	95.5 96.2	77.3 69.2	61.4 73.1	3.78 3.59	Luling
19.8	9.2	81.6 81.9	5.7 4.3	8.6	9.2 7.4	4.6	7.6 6.1	6.2 5.2	86.0 75.0	14.0 25.0	-	100.0	88.4 75.0	88.6 91.7	3.69 3.50	Nicholas
20.8	10.0	81.5 80.0	5.7 4.2	13.3	9.5 7.2	7.2	7.5 6.0	3.3	97.8 64.0	2.2 36.0	-	100.0	100.0 64.0	97.8 92.0	3.59 3.44	Ramona
17.2 9.7	15.7	82.1 80.1	5.2 4.1	12.3	8.9 7.1	6.4 4.2	7.1 5.8	7.7 5.2	40.0 56.5	57.8 43.5	2.2	91.1	42.2 60.9	57.8 82.6	3.88 3.68	Sand Springs
18.7	10.4	81.1 80.5	5.3 4.3	12.5 7.0	9.2 7.2	5.7 2.4	7.5 5.9	4.8 4.2	66.7	33.3	-	100.0	72.9 70.8	75.0 83.3	4.03 3.26	Western
	1		-	1	,	1	-	-	,		,		-			

		CTD 4111			MOR	TALITY	(%)			AVER	AGE LIV	E WEIGH	HTS (lbs.)	COEFF.
ENTRANT	OF STOCK	STRAIN OR TRADE NAME	MATING PRO- CEDURE	NUMBER OF HENS IN FLOCK	2 WEEKS	8 WEEKS	END OF TEST	SEX	9 VEEKS	I2 WEEKS	20 WEEKS	22 WEEKS	24 WEEKS	27 WEEKS	VAR. (FINAL LIVE WEIGHT)
				(Bronz	e Enti	ries-C	ont'd)		•					
Western, Texas	SF BBB	Nicholas	Both	25,000	-	5	11	Toms Hens	5.2 4.1	7.5 6.1		17.0 12.6		22.6	10.0
Wright-Bolton, Nebraska	SF BBB	Wright- Bolton	Nat.	1,000	-	1	5	Toms Hens	5.3 4.1	7.3 6.2		17.9 13.2		24.5	10.9
Swift & Co., Illinois	SF BBB	Lyon's 'Triple B'	Both	3,900	5	5	7	Toms Hens	5.1 4.1	7.6 6.2		17.2 12.6		23.0	13.1
Average Bronze					1.4	2.7	5.8	Toms Hens	5.1 4.8	7.4 6.2		17.5 12.8		23.5	11.3 7.9
					(W	hite E	ntries)							
Anderson, Massachusetts	BR BBW	Block Buster	Art.	350	-	-	4	Toms Hens	5.3 4.2	7.9 6.4		17. 7 13.4		23.7	10.1 5.3
Barnett's, Texas	SF BBW	Thompson	Nat.	35,000	1	3	7	Toms Hens	4.6 3.6	6.6		15.5 11.1		20.2	12.8
Browning, Kentucky	SF BBW	Browning	Both	5,200	-	3	4	Toms Hens	4.8 3.7	6.7 5.3		15.7 11.2		21.3	9.1 10.3
Gozzi's, Connecticut	SF BBW	Gozzi's Guilford	Both	2,400	1	5	8	Toms Hens	4.8 4.2	7.0 6.1		16.7 12.5		22.5	11.7
Janes Bar Nothing,Texas	SF BBW	Janes	Both	3,563	1	5	5	Toms Hens	4.9 3.7	7.0 5.4		15.9 11.2		21.1	12.5 11.4
Kirby, Ohio	BR BBW	Rivervene	Nat.	2,200	1	1	2	Toms Hens	5.0 3.8	6.9		16.0 11.1		21.1	10.7
Luling Found., Texas	BR BBW	Luling	Nat.	197	1	2	5	Toms Hens	4.4 3.6	5.9		15.1 10.9		19.9	11.2
Nicholas, California	BR BBW	Nicholas	Both	410	2	4	5	Toms Hens	5.9 4. 5	8.3		18.5 13.4		24.2	10.8
River Rest, Oklahoma	BR BBW	River Rest	Nat.	6,000	-	-	4	Toms Hens	5.0 3.7	6.9 5.7		16.5 11.7		22.0	11.2
Rusk's, Washington	BR BBW	Rush's	Nat.	139	-	2	2			7.4 5.9		17.3 12.3		23.1	9.6 8.1
Thompson's, Arkansas	BR BBW	Thompson	Nat.	5,500	-	1	4			6.7 5.6		15.7 11.3		20.9	11.8
Wilford, Ohio	BR BBW	3 C 88	Both	1,500	1	3	4		4.6 3.7	6.7 5.5		15.8 10.9			13.4 13.6
Average White					.7	2.4	4.5		4.9 3.9	7.0 5.7		16.4		21.8	11.2

EVISCE! WEIG		ING	BREA		BOD DEP1		KEI LENG			ERALL		% U. S.	GRADE A	FOR:	FEED	
POUNDS	COEFF. OF VAR.	DRESSING	INCHES	COEFF. OF VAR.	INCHES	COEFF. OF VAR.	INCHES	COEFF. OF VAR.		. GRADE		FLESHING	FINISH	FREEDOM OF PIN- FEATHERS	CONVER- SION RATIO	ENTRANT
	VAR.	-		VAR.		V A.C.			onze En	tries	C Cont'	d)				
					·			<u> </u>				<u> </u>				
18.3	9.7 7.5	81.1 80.4	5.3 4 .1	11.1 6.4		3.0	7.5 5.8	5.6 4. 2	67.5 76.2	32.5 23.8	-	100.0	82.5 81.0	75.0 85.7	3.86	Western
19.7 10.5	12.1	80.6 79.7	5.0 4.1	14.8 7.0		4.4 2.8	7.6 6.1	5.6 4. 4	53.3 66.7	46.7 33.3	-	93.3 95.8	88.9 70.8	53.3 83.3	3.79 3.57	Wright Bolton
18.7 10.2	12.9 9.4	81.5 81.2	5.7 4. 1	14.8 8.9		4.2 3.5	7.3 6.0	6.1	56.8 76.0	40.9 24.0		97.7 96.0	75.0 80.0	59.1 84.0	3.61	Swift
19.1 10.3	11.6	81.2	5.3 4.2	13.0	9.4 7.2	4. 8 3. 2	7.5 4.8	5.5	63.5	35.4 29.2	1.0	96.7 99.2	76.2 75.0	69.8	3.79	Av. Bronze
										te Ent						
10.5		02.1	5.0	10.3	0.1	2 0	7.1	4.7	97.9	2.1	-	100.0	97.9	100.0	3.82	Anderson
19.5	9.6	82.1 81.0	5.8 4.6	10.3		3.8 2.5	7.1 5.8	3.9	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	100.0	3.66	Anderson
16.2 8.8	14.3 9.6	80.1 79.0	4.7 3.9	12.8 5.7		4.9 3.2	7.0 5.7	6.3	73.8 66.7	26.2	-	100.0	73.8 66.7	97.6 100.0	3.85 3.65	Barnett's
17.0	10.5	79.8 79.5	5.1 4.1	11.8		5.0 2.9	7.1 5.7	4.9 4.3	82.0 72.0	16.0 28.0		98.0 100.0	84.0 72.0	96.0 100.0	4.02 3.42	Browning
18.1	12.9 9.6	80.7 80.4	5.8 4.4	13.4 7.2		4.5 3.8	7.2 5.8	7.0 4.2	93.5 88.5	6.5 11.5	-	100.0	93.5 88.5	95.7 100.0	3.51 3.58	Gozzi's
17.0 8.9	12.6	80.3 79.1	4.9	13.8 7.6		4.8 4.4	7.2 5.9	5. 4 4.8	87.8 72.0	12.2 28.0	-	98.0 96.0	87.8 72.0	98.0 96.0	3.65 3.61	Janes
17.0 8.8	11.1	80.4 79.2	4.8 3.8	10.7	8.8 6.9	5.1 3.3	7.1 5.8	6.2	77.8 69.6	22.2 30.4	-	97.8 100.0	77.8 69.6	97.8 100.0	3.71 3.37	Kirby
16.0	11.0	80.3 78.9	4.8	13.0	8.9 6.7	5.2 2.6	7.0 5.7	6.3	77.1 75.0	22.9 25.0		97.9 100.0	77.1 75.0	95.8 100.0	3.58 3.40	Luling
19.9 10.6	10.7	82.2 79.3	5.5 4.4	12.6 7.2	9.5 7.2	3.8 2.8	7.3 5.9	4.1 4.5	97.7 76.0	2.3 24.0	-	100.0 100.0	97.7 76.0	100.0	4.07	Nicholas
17.6 9.3	12. 4 7.9	79.9 80.7		13.0 6.4		4.8	7.4 5.9	5.6 4.8	82.2 56.0	17.8 44.0	1	100.0	82.2 56.0	100.0	3.84 3.49	River Rest
18.5 9.8	12.1	80.3 79.3	5.2 4.1	12.0		4.1 2.5	7.4	4.9	90.0 86.4	10.0 13.6		100.0	90.0 86.4	100.0	3.55 3.52	Rusk's
16.7	12.4	80.3 80.1	4.8	12.5		5.4 3.6	7.0 5.7	4.8	80.9 78.3	19.1 21.7	-	100.0	80.9 78.3	100.0	3.52 3.42	Thompson's
17.2	13.5	81.9 80.4	5.2 4.0	13.3		4.6 5.4	7.0 5.7	5.1 6.1	82.6 72.0	17.4 28.0		97.8 96.0	82.6 72.0	97.8 100.0	3.67 3.46	Wilford
17.6	11.9	80.7 79.7		12.4 6.7		4.7 3.4	7.2 5.8	5.4 4.7	85.3 76.0	14.6 24.0	.17	99.9	85.4 76.0	98.2 99.7	3.73 3.50	Av. White
	i.	-			L.		-				-		+		-	

